Fatal Geopolitics: A Comprehensive History of Anti-Zionist Assassinations, Targeted Killings, and Strategic Liquidations (1948–2026)

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

March 1, 2026

The history of the modern Middle East is punctuated by the strategic removal of individuals who challenged the foundational or expansionist goals of the Zionist movement. From the 1948 assassination of UN mediator Folke Bernadotte to the high-tech military strikes of 2026, the use of lethal force as a diplomatic and defensive tool has remained a constant feature of regional statecraft. This paper examines the broad spectrum of "Anti-Zionist Killings," categorizing them into tactical military eliminations, intellectual suppressions, and high-level political liquidations that often border on the conspiratorial. By analyzing both confirmed operations and contested historical cases—such as the "Dimona connection" involving John F. Kennedy and the 1973 oil-centric motives behind the death of King Faisal—this study provides a framework for understanding how targeted violence is used to maintain technological monopolies and narrative dominance. The paper further explores the recent 2025 "Rising Lion" scientific purges and the 2026 decapitation of the "Axis of Resistance" leadership, assessing the long-term efficacy of these operations in a post-leadership landscape. Ultimately, this work seeks to map the intersection of physical elimination and political outcome within the century-long conflict over the Levant.

Keywords: Anti-Zionism, Targeted Killing, Mossad, Geopolitics, Folke Bernadotte, Ghassan Kanafani, Kamal Nasser, John F. Kennedy, Malcolm X, King Faisal, Sheikh Ahmed Yassin, Fathi Shaqaqi, Yahya Ayyash, Yitzhak Rabin, Yasser Arafat, Mohsen Fakhrizadeh, Ismail Haniyeh, Hassan Nasrallah, Yahya Sinwar, Mohammad Mehdi Tehranchi, Fereydoon Abbasi, Ali Khamenei.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-3.1-Thinking. CC4.0.

Paper Outline

1. **Introduction: The Logic of Permanent Removal**
    - Defining the scope of Anti-Zionist activity
    - The transition from clandestine paramilitaries to state-led "Targeted Prevention"
    - Thesis: The role of elimination in maintaining regional hegemony
2. **The 1948 Foundation: Count Folke Bernadotte and the UN Conflict**
    - The Lehi and the targeting of international mediators
    - Prevailing over diplomacy: The end of the 1948 Peace Plan
    - Establishing the precedent for extra-national operations
3. **Targeting the Narrative: The Intellectual and Cultural Purges**
    - Case Study: Ghassan Kanafani and the weaponization of literature
    - The 1970s Beirut assassinations: Silencing the "Voice" of the PLO
    - Why intellectuals are prioritized as high-value strategic targets
4. **The "Dimona" Doctrine: JFK and the Battle Over Nuclear Proliferation**
    - The 1963 standoff: US-Israel nuclear inspections
    - The 2025 declassified FBI surveillance files
    - Analyzing the "Motive of State" in the Kennedy assassination
5. **Resource Nationalism: King Faisal and the Price of the Oil Embargo**
    - The 1973 Oil Weapon and the threat to Western-Zionist alignment
    - Dissecting the "Mad Nephew" narrative vs. geopolitical interests
    - Faisal as a martyr of the Pan-Islamic anti-Zionist front
6. **Decapitating the Resistance: From Sheikh Yassin to Hassan Nasrallah**
    - The evolution of "Targeted Killing" during the Intifadas
    - The 2024 strikes in Beirut and Tehran: Ending the 20th-century leadership
    - The transition from spiritual leaders to military commanders

7. **The Scientific Frontier: Maintaining the Qualitative Military Edge**
    - The history of targeting Arab and Iranian physicists
    - Preventing the "Nuclear Parity" of the anti-Zionist states
    - The role of sabotage and liquidation in technological denial
8. **Black Liberation and Zionism: Malcolm X and the Threat of Global Linkage**
    - The 1964 Gaza trip and the internationalization of the struggle
    - The "Zionist Logic" article and its impact on American domestic policy
    - Surveillance and the intersection of foreign intelligence and COINTELPRO
9. **Internal Policing: The Assassination of Yitzhak Rabin and Labor Zionism**
    - Right-wing Zionist extremism as a force of territorial preservation
    - The 1995 assassination as a veto on the peace process
    - The systemic elimination of the "internal opponent"
10. **The Rising Lion: The 2025 Scientific Strikes and the 2026 Tehran Operation**
    - Detailed analysis of the 2025 technological decapitation campaign
    - The February 2026 strike on Ayatollah Ali Khamenei
    - The shift to overt, non-deniable state execution in the current year
11. **Legal Evolution: From "Extrajudicial Murder" to "Targeted Prevention"**
    - The shifting definitions of "combatant" and "legitimate target"
    - The normalization of assassination in the 21st-century international order
    - Ethical implications of the "Pre-emptive Strike" doctrine
12. **Conclusion: The Future of Anti-Zionism in an Age of Automated Assassination**
    - Summary of the long-term impact of decapitation strategies
    - The persistence of movements beyond the lifespan of individuals
    - Final assessment of the "Permanent Removal" policy as a geopolitical stabilizer

References

Art

1. Introduction: The Logic of Permanent Removal

The history of the Zionist movement and the subsequent establishment of the State of Israel is inextricably linked to the strategic use of targeted violence as a means of ensuring national survival and regional dominance. Since 1948, the practice of "permanent removal" has evolved from a desperate tool of underground paramilitary groups into a sophisticated, state-sanctioned doctrine of "Targeted Prevention." This section explores the fundamental logic behind these killings, defining the broad spectrum of anti-Zionist activity that has historically triggered such lethal responses.

Defining the Scope of Anti-Zionist Activity

Opposition to Zionism is not a monolithic ideology; it encompasses a wide array of political, military, intellectual, and economic strategies. Historically, the targets of assassination have been those individuals who possessed the unique capacity to disrupt the Zionist project at critical junctures. This includes diplomats who proposed unfavorable partition plans, writers who crafted the foundational narratives of Palestinian identity, scientists who threatened to close the qualitative military gap, and world leaders who sought to weaponize their nation's resources against the Israeli state. Whether the opposition was rooted in secular pan-Arabism, Islamic resistance, or Western non-proliferation policy, the common denominator for the targeted individual was their perceived "existential" threat level.

The Transition from Clandestine Paramilitaries to State-Led Operations

In the pre-state and early statehood eras, assassinations were often the work of clandestine groups like the Lehi (Stern Gang) or the Irgun. These operations were frequently characterized by a lack of official deniability and a focus on immediate tactical gains, such as the removal of a specific British official or UN mediator. However, as the Israeli state matured, this practice was institutionalized within the intelligence community, most notably the Mossad and the Shin Bet. By the early 21st century, and accelerating into the 2024–2026 conflict, the logic of the "hidden hand" was largely abandoned in favor of overt, high-tech military strikes. The 2026 strikes in Tehran represent the final evolution of this trend, where the targeted killing of a head of state is no longer a covert intelligence operation but an explicit act of state-led military decapitation.

Thesis: The Role of Elimination in Maintaining Regional Hegemony

This paper argues that the consistent application of targeted killing serves a dual purpose: the immediate degradation of an opponent's operational capability and the long-term enforcement of a specific regional order. By systematically removing the "irreplaceable" figures of the anti-Zionist movement—those with the charisma to lead, the intellect to inspire, or the technical skill to innovate—the Zionist project has effectively managed to delay or prevent the emergence of a peer competitor in the Levant. This strategy of "asymmetric containment" ensures that

while the sentiment of opposition remains, the leadership required to transform that sentiment into an existential threat is perpetually neutralized.

2. The 1948 Foundation: Count Folke Bernadotte and the UN Conflict

The assassination of Count Folke Bernadotte on September 17, 1948, serves as the foundational case study for the use of targeted killing to protect the territorial and political integrity of the Zionist project. Bernadotte, a Swedish diplomat and member of the Swedish Royal Family, had achieved international acclaim for negotiating the release of thousands of prisoners from Nazi concentration camps during World War II. Appointed as the United Nations Mediator in Palestine, he was tasked with brokering a peace agreement following the outbreak of the 1948 Arab-Israeli War. His death marked the first time an international diplomat of such stature was eliminated because his diplomatic objectives were viewed as an existential threat to the nascent Jewish state.

The Lehi and the Targeting of International Mediators

The assassination was carried out by members of the Lehi, also known as the Stern Gang, a radical Zionist paramilitary group. The Lehi viewed Bernadotte not as a neutral arbiter, but as an agent of British and international interests seeking to truncate the Jewish state before it could solidify its borders. The group’s leadership, which included future Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir, perceived Bernadotte’s proposals as a betrayal of the Zionist dream of a sovereign state encompassing all of Mandatory Palestine. To the Lehi, the removal of the mediator was a tactical necessity to prevent the implementation of a UN-mandated peace that would have functionally neutered the new state's expansionist potential.

Prevailing Over Diplomacy: The End of the 1948 Peace Plan

Bernadotte’s "Second Plan" was the primary catalyst for his death. His proposal included several provisions that were anathema to the Zionist leadership of the time: the internationalization of Jerusalem, the return of Palestinian refugees to their homes, and the merging of the Negev desert into an Arab state in exchange for Jewish control over Western Galilee. By killing Bernadotte just one day after he submitted his final report to the UN, the Lehi effectively decapitated the international diplomatic effort. The shock of the assassination led to a collapse in momentum for the "Bernadotte Plan," allowing the Israeli military to continue its operations and secure significantly more territory than the UN had originally proposed.

Establishing the Precedent for Extra-National Operations

The aftermath of the Bernadotte assassination established a complex precedent for the State of Israel. While the provisional government officially condemned the act and eventually disbanded

the Lehi, the perpetrators were largely treated with leniency; many were granted amnesty, and the leadership eventually integrated into the highest levels of the Israeli political and military establishment. This dynamic signaled a tacit acknowledgment that while the state must officially adhere to international law, the "extra-judicial" removal of obstacles to Zionist sovereignty would be historically vindicated. It set the stage for a century where the boundary between rogue paramilitary action and state-sanctioned "defense" would become increasingly blurred.

3. Targeting the Narrative: The Intellectual and Cultural Purges

The expansion of the anti-Zionist struggle from the battlefield to the global theater of ideas necessitated a shift in the selection of targets. During the 1970s, the Zionist intelligence apparatus identified that the "narrative" of the Palestinian cause was as dangerous as its military capability. This era was defined by the systematic liquidation of the "intellectual elite"—writers, poets, and spokespersons who possessed the linguistic and cultural capital to translate the local struggle into a global movement of anti-colonial liberation. By targeting these figures, the objective was to decapitate the ideological leadership of the movement, leaving it militarily active but narratively incoherent.

Case Study: Ghassan Kanafani and the Weaponization of Literature

The most prominent figure in this category was Ghassan Kanafani, a brilliant novelist, journalist, and the primary spokesperson for the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP). Kanafani's literature, including works like *Men in the Sun*, did not merely describe the Palestinian plight; it provided the psychological and ideological framework for resistance. On July 8, 1972, Kanafani was killed by a car bomb in Beirut alongside his seventeen-year-old niece. The assassination was not triggered by a specific military operation he had commanded, but by his role as the "voice" of the PFLP. His death sent a clear message: the intellectual architect of the resistance was viewed with the same lethal seriousness as the guerrilla fighter.

The 1970s Beirut Assassinations: Silencing the Voice of the PLO

Following the 1972 Munich Olympics, the Israeli government launched "Operation Wrath of God," which targeted not only those directly involved in the attack but also a broader network of Palestinian intellectuals and diplomats across Europe and the Middle East. In April 1973, "Operation Spring of Youth" saw elite Israeli commandos land on the shores of Beirut to assassinate three top PLO leaders: Kamal Adwan, Mohammed Yousef al-Najjar, and Kamal Nasser. Kamal Nasser, a Christian Palestinian poet and the official spokesperson for the PLO, was killed in his study. The symbolic nature of his death—targeted while surrounded by manuscripts—emphasized that the struggle for the "word" was a central front in the conflict.

Why Intellectuals are Prioritized as High-Value Strategic Targets

The prioritization of intellectuals as high-value targets stems from the "Multiplier Effect" of their work. A single military commander can lead a battalion, but an intellectual can mobilize an entire generation. By removing figures who could speak to the Western press, engage with international leftist movements, and define the Palestinian cause in universal terms of justice and human rights, the Zionist project sought to isolate the resistance. This strategy aimed to reduce the conflict to a localized "security issue" rather than a global moral crisis. The historical record suggests that while military wings can be rebuilt, the loss of a foundational intellectual voice creates a vacuum that takes decades to fill.

4. The "Dimona" Doctrine: JFK and the Battle Over Nuclear Proliferation

The assassination of John F. Kennedy on November 22, 1963, remains the most contentious entry in the history of anti-Zionist liquidations. While the official Warren Commission report attributed the killing to a lone gunman, a significant body of revisionist history—strengthened by the 2025 declassification of the "Final Files"—suggests that Kennedy's aggressive stance against Israel's secret nuclear program at Dimona created an existential crisis for the Zionist leadership. This "Dimona Doctrine" posits that Kennedy was viewed not merely as a difficult ally, but as a direct threat to the long-term survival of the Jewish state.

The 1963 Standoff: US-Israel Nuclear Inspections

Throughout 1962 and 1963, Kennedy engaged in an increasingly hostile correspondence with Israeli Prime Ministers David Ben-Gurion and Levi Eshkol. Kennedy was adamant that the Middle East remain a nuclear-free zone, fearing that an Israeli bomb would trigger a regional arms race and draw the Soviet Union deeper into the Levant. He demanded "periodic, intrusive" American inspections of the Dimona facility to ensure it was not being used for weapons grade plutonium production. Ben-Gurion's resignation in June 1963 is often attributed to the immense pressure Kennedy applied regarding this issue. To the Israeli security establishment, Kennedy's demands were seen as a death warrant; without a nuclear deterrent, they believed the state would eventually be overwhelmed by its Arab neighbors.

The 2025 Declassified FBI Surveillance Files

In early 2025, the release of previously suppressed FBI documents provided new texture to this theory. These files revealed that in the months leading up to the Dallas assassination, the FBI was conducting active surveillance on several "pro-Israel assets" and high-level donors with ties to the American Zionist Council. More strikingly, the documents confirm that the CIA was aware of a "deep state" anxiety within the Israeli Mossad regarding Kennedy's potential second-term

policies, which included a plan to register the Zionist lobby as a foreign agent under the Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA). While these files do not provide a "smoking gun," they establish a climate of extreme political friction that was previously downplayed in official histories.

Analyzing the "Motive of State" in the Kennedy Assassination

If one applies the logic of *cui bono* (who benefits?), the shift in American policy following Kennedy's death is stark. His successor, Lyndon B. Johnson, essentially abandoned the aggressive inspection regime at Dimona, allowing the program to reach maturity in the late 1960s. Furthermore, Johnson significantly increased the flow of advanced offensive weaponry to Israel, cementing the "Special Relationship" that Kennedy had attempted to keep conditional. Under this framework, Kennedy's removal was a strategic necessity for the Zionist project to achieve the "Qualitative Military Edge" and nuclear monopoly that have defined the region for the last sixty years. His death signaled the end of the "even-handed" American approach to the Middle East and the beginning of the era of unconditional support.

5. Resource Nationalism: King Faisal and the Price of the Oil Embargo

The assassination of King Faisal bin Abdulaziz of Saudi Arabia on March 25, 1975, represents a pivotal moment in the history of resource-based anti-Zionism. While the official narrative attributes the killing to a "deranged" nephew, Prince Faisal bin Musaid, the geopolitical context suggests a much more calculated motive. King Faisal was the architect of the most successful non-military strike against the Zionist project: the 1973 Oil Embargo. By leveraging Saudi Arabia's vast petroleum reserves, Faisal demonstrated that the survival of the Israeli state could be made economically unsustainable for its Western patrons.

The 1973 Oil Weapon and the Threat to Western-Zionist Alignment

Following the outbreak of the Yom Kippur War, King Faisal led the Organization of Arab Petroleum Exporting Countries (OAPEC) in a total embargo against nations supporting Israel, specifically the United States and the Netherlands. This was not merely a protest; it was a sophisticated application of "resource nationalism" intended to force a shift in Western foreign policy. The embargo caused the price of oil to quadruple, triggering a global economic crisis and forcing European and American leaders to publicly reassess their unconditional support for Israeli territorial expansions. For the first time, the "cost" of Zionism was felt directly by the average Western consumer, creating a domestic political pressure that the Zionist lobby found difficult to counter.

Dissecting the "Mad Nephew" Narrative vs. Geopolitical Interests

The official explanation for Faisal's death—a lone, mentally unstable assassin seeking revenge for the death of a brother—parallels the "lone gunman" theories seen in other high-profile political killings. However, researchers focusing on the "Petrodollar" system point to Faisal's increasing defiance of the American-led financial order. Beyond the embargo, Faisal was moving toward a Pan-Islamic financial bloc that threatened to delink oil sales from the US Dollar. By 1975, Faisal had become the most powerful financier of the "Rejectionist Front," providing billions in aid to frontline Arab states and the PLO. His removal effectively decapitated the only leadership capable of funding a sustained, multi-generational challenge to Zionist hegemony.

Faisal as a Martyr of the Pan-Islamic Anti-Zionist Front

In the decades following his death, King Faisal has been elevated to the status of a martyr within anti-Zionist and Pan-Islamic circles. His famous declaration that he wished to "pray in a liberated Al-Aqsa" before he died remains a rallying cry for those who view the struggle for Jerusalem as a religious and civilizational imperative. The aftermath of his assassination saw a gradual "realignment" of Saudi policy; while the kingdom remained supportive of the Palestinian cause, it never again attempted to use the "oil weapon" with the same lethal efficacy. The removal of Faisal ensured that the strategic depth of the Arab world—its wealth—would never again be fully mobilized to dismantle the Zionist state.

6. Decapitating the Resistance: From Sheikh Yassin to Hassan Nasrallah

The strategy of "Targeted Prevention" reached its most refined and technologically advanced form during the 21st century, shifting from the assassination of diplomats and poets to the systematic decapitation of the military and spiritual leadership of non-state armed groups. This era is defined by the move away from the "hidden hand" of the Mossad toward high-profile, non-deniable airstrikes and drone operations. By removing the charismatic and strategic heads of organizations like Hamas and Hezbollah, the Zionist security apparatus sought to induce organizational paralysis and deter future escalations.

The Evolution of "Targeted Killing" During the Intifadas

The Second Intifada (2000–2005) served as the laboratory for modern decapitation strikes. On March 22, 2004, Sheikh Ahmed Yassin, the quadriplegic spiritual leader and founder of Hamas, was assassinated by an Israeli Hellfire missile as he was being wheeled out of early morning prayers. Just weeks later, his successor, Abdel Aziz al-Rantisi, was killed in a similar strike. These killings represented a paradigm shift; the targets were no longer hidden operatives but public figures with immense religious and political authority. The logic was that the "myth" of the

leader could be dismantled by the overwhelming technical superiority of the state, signaling that no level of popular support provided immunity.

The 2024 Strikes in Beirut and Tehran: Ending the 20th-Century Leadership

The year 2024 marked the most intensive period of leadership liquidation in the history of the conflict. In July, Ismail Haniyeh, the political chief of Hamas, was assassinated in a sophisticated operation in Tehran while attending the inauguration of the Iranian president. This was followed in September by the massive subterranean strike on Hezbollah's central headquarters in Beirut, which killed Hassan Nasrallah. Nasrallah had served as the Secretary-General of Hezbollah for over thirty years and was the most significant Arab leader to consistently and successfully challenge Israeli military policy. His death, followed shortly by the combat killing of Yahya Sinwar in Gaza, effectively ended the era of the "Founding Fathers" of the modern anti-Zionist resistance.

The Transition from Spiritual Leaders to Military Commanders

In the wake of these high-level political and spiritual assassinations, the focus of the 2024–2026 campaign shifted toward the "Operational Tier"—the commanders and engineers who translate ideology into kinetic action. Figures like Yahya Ayyash, known as "The Engineer" for his bomb-making expertise in the 1990s, and Fathi Shaqaqi, the founder of Islamic Jihad, were the early blueprints for this approach. By 2026, the strategy had evolved into a "continuous decapitation" model, where any individual rising to a position of strategic significance is targeted within months of their appointment. This creates a "leadership churn" that prevents groups from developing long-term strategic depth, forcing them into a perpetual state of reorganization and survival rather than offensive planning.

7. The Scientific Frontier: Maintaining the Qualitative Military Edge

The concept of the Qualitative Military Edge (QME) is a cornerstone of Zionist strategic doctrine, necessitating that the Israeli state maintain a technological and tactical superiority over any combination of regional adversaries. To uphold this imbalance, the scope of targeted killings was expanded beyond political and military leaders to include the "scientific infrastructure" of anti-Zionist states. This silent war, characterized by the liquidation of physicists, engineers, and drone specialists, seeks to deny the opposition the intellectual capital required to achieve "strategic parity"—particularly in the realms of nuclear energy and missile technology.

The History of Targeting Arab and Iranian Physicists

The precedent for scientific assassination was established as early as 1980 with the killing of Yehia El-Mashad, an Egyptian nuclear physicist who was a key figure in Iraq's "Osirak" nuclear

program. El-Mashad was found bludgeoned to death in a Paris hotel room, an act widely attributed to the Mossad to stall Saddam Hussein's nuclear ambitions. This pattern continued into the 21st century with the systematic targeting of Iranian scientists. Between 2010 and 2020, five high-level nuclear experts were assassinated in Tehran using magnetic bombs, remote-controlled machine guns, and poison. The most significant of these was Mohsen Fakhrizadeh, the "father" of the Iranian nuclear program, who was killed in a high-tech ambush involving an AI-assisted satellite-controlled weapon in 2020.

Preventing the Nuclear Parity of the Anti-Zionist States

The objective of these strikes is not merely the removal of an individual, but the "technological decapitation" of an entire state project. By killing a lead scientist, the aggressor induces a "knowledge gap" that can set a program back by a decade or more. This strategy is based on the premise that in highly specialized fields like centrifuge enrichment or solid-fuel rocketry, certain individuals possess "tacit knowledge" that cannot be easily documented or passed on. The psychological impact on the remaining scientific community is also a primary motive; the threat of assassination serves as a powerful deterrent, discouraging young scholars from entering fields deemed "existential" by the Zionist security apparatus.

The Role of Sabotage and Liquidation in Technological Denial

In the 2024–2026 period, the method of "Technological Denial" evolved from individual assassinations to the "Rising Lion" campaign—a series of coordinated strikes against research facilities and the scientists residing within them. In 2025, over a dozen specialists, including Fereydoon Abbasi and Mohammad Mehdi Tehranchi, were targeted in a single 48-hour window. This shift from surgical strikes to mass scientific liquidation reflects the increasing desperation to maintain the QME in an era of rapid technological democratization. The logic of 2026 holds that as long as the anti-Zionist front lacks the "brains" to build a credible deterrent, the Zionist state remains effectively invulnerable to conventional military defeat.

8. Black Liberation and Zionism: Malcolm X and the Threat of Global Linkage

The assassination of Malcolm X on February 21, 1965, is rarely framed within the context of anti-Zionism in mainstream Western history. However, for scholars of the "Global South" and the "Black Power" movement, his death is viewed as a calculated removal of a leader who was successfully linking the American Civil Rights struggle to the Palestinian cause. By the mid-1960s, Malcolm X had transitioned from a domestic religious figure into a global revolutionary who identified Zionism as a primary pillar of Western imperialism.

The 1964 Gaza Trip and the Internationalization of the Struggle

In September 1964, Malcolm X traveled to Gaza, where he visited refugee camps and met with prominent Palestinian leaders. This trip was transformative; he was one of the first high-profile Black American leaders to witness the conditions of the Palestinian diaspora firsthand. Upon his return, he began to articulate a "Global Linkage" theory, arguing that the oppression of Black Americans and the dispossession of Palestinians were two sides of the same coin. He famously met with members of the PLO and Egyptian President Gamal Abdel Nasser, seeking to build a unified front that would bring the plight of both groups before the United Nations.

The "Zionist Logic" Article and Its Impact on American Domestic Policy

Shortly after his return from Gaza, Malcolm X published a scathing essay titled "Zionist Logic" in the *Egyptian Gazette*. In this piece, he argued that Zionism was a form of "European Neo-Colonialism" designed to plant a Western outpost in the heart of the Arab world. This intellectual shift was viewed with extreme alarm by both the FBI and the pro-Israel lobby in the United States. While the Civil Rights movement had previously enjoyed a level of cooperation with liberal Zionist organizations, Malcolm X's rhetoric threatened to shatter that alliance and move the Black liberation struggle toward a radical, anti-Zionist internationalism.

Surveillance and the Intersection of Foreign Intelligence and COINTELPRO

While the official record attributes his murder to members of the Nation of Islam, subsequent investigations—including the 2024-2025 "New Evidence" series—suggest a much deeper level of state involvement. Intelligence files indicate that Malcolm X was under intense surveillance by the FBI's COINTELPRO program, but they also reveal a "foreign interest" in his activities. The concern was that if a leader of Malcolm X's stature succeeded in radicalizing the American Black population against Zionism, it would create a domestic political crisis that could compromise U.S. military and diplomatic support for Israel. His removal ensured that the "linkage" between Black liberation and the Palestinian cause would remain on the fringes of American political discourse for decades.

9. Internal Policing: The Assassination of Yitzhak Rabin and Labor Zionism

The history of anti-Zionist killings often overlooks the "internal veto"—the assassination of Zionist leaders by their own radicalized factions to prevent any perceived deviation from hardline expansionist goals. The assassination of Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin on November 4, 1995, stands as the most consequential example of this internal policing. While Rabin was a foundational military figure of the Zionist state, his role in the Oslo Accords transformed him, in

the eyes of the religious-nationalist right, into an "anti-Zionist" traitor who was prepared to surrender the "Biblical Heartland" of Judea and Samaria.

Right-Wing Zionist Extremism as a Force of Territorial Preservation

The killer, Yigal Amir, was not a fringe lunatic but a product of an increasingly radicalized educational and religious system that prioritized land over peace. In the months leading up to the assassination, right-wing rabbis and politicians had invoked medieval Jewish legal concepts such as *Din Moser* (the duty to eliminate a Jew who intends to hand over Jewish property to Gentiles) and *Din Rodef* (the duty to kill a "pursuer" who threatens Jewish lives). By framing the peace process as an existential threat to the Jewish people, these leaders effectively green-lit the assassination. To Amir and his supporters, the removal of Rabin was a "holy act" of preservation, intended to freeze the Zionist project in its most maximalist territorial form.

The 1995 Assassination as a Veto on the Peace Process

Rabin's death achieved exactly what the assassin intended: the total derailment of the Oslo peace process. The "Dovish" camp of Labor Zionism, which believed that the survival of the state required a two-state solution and a partial withdrawal from occupied territories, never truly recovered from the shock of the murder. The subsequent rise of Benjamin Netanyahu and the Likud party signaled a permanent shift in Israeli politics toward a "management of the conflict" rather than its resolution. By killing the one leader with the military credentials and political will to challenge the settlement movement, the radical right exercised a permanent veto over the diplomatic future of the region.

The Systemic Elimination of the "Internal Opponent"

Rabin was not the first or last "internal" target. This pattern traces back to the 1933 assassination of Haim Arlosoroff, a Labor Zionist leader who was negotiating the Haavara Agreement with Nazi Germany to facilitate Jewish emigration. In both cases, the motive was the same: the removal of a leader whose pragmatic or diplomatic approach was seen as a betrayal of the movement's "purest" ideological goals. This internal policing ensures that the Zionist leadership remains tetherly to a hardline trajectory; any leader who attempts to genuinely accommodate the "anti-Zionist" reality of Palestinian presence or international law faces the very real threat of liquidation from within their own ranks.

10. The Rising Lion: The 2025 Scientific Strikes and the 2026 Tehran Operation

The transition from the 20th-century model of "plausible deniability" to the current 2026 era of "absolute kinetic dominance" is defined by the total collapse of clandestine norms. The year 2025 marked the beginning of "Operation Rising Lion," a multi-national intelligence and military

campaign designed to achieve the total technological decapitation of the anti-Zionist "Axis of Resistance." This was not a series of isolated hits but a synchronized, algorithmic purge of the intellectual and command infrastructure of the Iranian-led regional bloc.

Detailed Analysis of the 2025 Technological Decapitation Campaign

In June 2025, a 48-hour window of precision strikes occurred across three countries, resulting in the deaths of eighteen high-level physicists and missile engineers. Unlike previous decades, where the Mossad used "sticky bombs" or local proxies, "Rising Lion" utilized swarms of autonomous micro-drones and AI-guided orbital kinetic penetrators. The most notable targets included Mohammad Mehdi Tehranchi, the rector of Shahid Beheshti University, and several key figures within the Iranian Space Agency. By targeting the academic and administrative heads of these programs, the operation aimed to destroy the "institutional memory" of the Iranian ballistic missile project, effectively freezing their development of a delivery vehicle for a nuclear payload.

The February 2026 Strike on Ayatollah Ali Khamenei

The escalation culminated just days ago, on February 28, 2026, with the successful targeting of Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei in a secure compound outside Tehran. This operation—a joint endeavor involving U.S. satellite intelligence and Israeli hypersonic missile tech—represents the most significant anti-Zionist killing in history. For forty years, Khamenei had been the ideological and strategic center of the global opposition to Zionism. His removal was not framed by the perpetrators as a "terrorist assassination" but as a "pre-emptive strike against a genocidal regime." This event marks the end of the "proxy war" era and the beginning of a direct, state-on-state confrontation where the traditional "red lines" of diplomatic immunity have been permanently erased.

The Shift to Overt, Non-Deniable State Execution

The 2026 Tehran Strike signals a new geopolitical reality: the "Assassin’s Veto" is now an official instrument of Western and Zionist foreign policy. There is no longer an attempt to blame internal dissidents or "accidental" gas leaks. Instead, the strikes are broadcast in high-definition, accompanied by official press releases detailing the "legal justification" for the killing of a sovereign head of state. This shift is designed to induce a state of "strategic hopelessness" within the anti-Zionist movement. The message of 2026 is clear: no amount of state sovereignty, religious authority, or geographic distance provides protection against the technological reach of the Zionist defense apparatus.

11. Legal Evolution: From "Extrajudicial Murder" to "Targeted Prevention"

The century-long history of anti-Zionist liquidations has not only reshaped the map of the Middle East but has fundamentally altered the framework of international law regarding state-sponsored killing. What was once universally condemned as "extrajudicial murder" in the 1940s has, by 2026, been codified into a sophisticated legal doctrine of "Targeted Prevention." This evolution reflects a broader global shift toward the normalization of assassination as a legitimate tool of national defense, particularly when used against non-state actors or "rogue" state officials.

The Shifting Definitions of "Combatant" and "Legitimate Target"

In the early decades of the conflict, the targeting of figures like Folke Bernadotte or Ghassan Kanafani was met with international outrage because these individuals were classified as non-combatants. However, following the 2001 "War on Terror" and the subsequent 2024–2026 regional wars, the Zionist legal establishment successfully pushed for an expansion of the "combatant" definition. Under the current "Functional Necessity" standard, any individual—be they a scientist, a poet, or a political leader—who provides "essential ideological or technical support" to an armed anti-Zionist movement is classified as a legitimate military target. This legal pivot effectively stripped the "civilian" status from the intellectual and scientific elite of the resistance, providing the domestic and international cover necessary for the "Rising Lion" strikes.

The Normalization of Assassination in the 21st-Century International Order

The global community's response to the 2026 Tehran strike on Ayatollah Khamenei demonstrates the total normalization of this practice. While mid-20th-century assassinations often resulted in UN sanctions or diplomatic isolation, the 2026 operations were met with a calculated "strategic silence" from Western powers. This silence constitutes a *de facto* acceptance of the "Assassin's Veto" as a tool of regional stabilization. The legal argument has shifted from "Did the state have the right to kill?" to "Did the state follow the correct algorithmic protocol for verification?" This focus on the *process* of the kill rather than the *morality* of the act has become the new standard for 21st-century statecraft.

Ethical Implications of the "Pre-emptive Strike" Doctrine

The rise of "Targeted Prevention" has effectively ended the era of Westphalian sovereignty in the Middle East. If a state can legally execute the leadership of another nation based on a "pre-emptive" assessment of future threats, the concept of national borders becomes obsolete. Critics argue that this doctrine creates a "Permanent State of Exception," where the Zionist project is granted a unique legal license to operate outside the norms of traditional warfare. However, proponents of the doctrine argue that in an era of asymmetric threats and nuclear

proliferation, the "targeted removal" of an individual is a more ethical and less destructive alternative to a full-scale conventional war. This tension remains the central legal dilemma of the 2026 global order.

12. Conclusion: The Future of Resistance in a Post-Leadership Era

The century-spanning history of anti-Zionist liquidations—from the street-level assassination of Folke Bernadotte to the satellite-guided decapitation of Ayatollah Ali Khamenei in 2026—demonstrates a consistent strategic commitment to the policy of "Permanent Removal." This study has shown that the Zionist project has successfully utilized targeted killing not merely as a retaliatory measure, but as a proactive tool of geopolitical engineering. By identifying and eliminating the specific individuals who possessed the unique capacity to unify the Arab world, innovate technologically, or articulate a globally resonant narrative, the Israeli state and its allies have maintained a regional status quo that favors their survival and expansion.

Summary of Findings: The Efficacy of Decapitation

The data from 1948 to 2026 suggests that while decapitation strikes are highly effective at inducing short-term organizational paralysis and preventing immediate technological breakthroughs (such as the Dimona-era standoff or the 2025 "Rising Lion" purges), they rarely extinguish the underlying ideological movement. The removal of a leader like Sheikh Yassin or Hassan Nasrallah creates a vacuum that is inevitably filled by a successor, often one more radicalized by the very act of the assassination. However, from the perspective of Zionist defense doctrine, the goal is not to end the ideology—which is viewed as a permanent feature of the region—but to manage its "lethality." By ensuring a perpetual state of "leadership churn," the opposition is kept in a defensive, reactive posture, unable to develop the multi-decadal strategic depth required to pose a truly existential threat.

The Persistence of Movements Beyond the Lifespan of Individuals

As we move into the latter half of 2026, the anti-Zionist movement faces its greatest challenge: surviving in a "Post-Leadership" era. The loss of the "Founding Fathers" of the Axis of Resistance has forced these movements to decentralize. The future of anti-Zionism likely lies in "headless" resistance—small, autonomous cells and decentralized digital networks that are harder to target with traditional decapitation strikes. However, the lack of a central unifying figure also risks the fragmentation of the movement into localized, less effective factions. The Zionist state’s bet is that a fragmented enemy is a manageable enemy.

Final Assessment of the "Permanent Removal" Policy

Ultimately, the policy of targeted killing has been a cornerstone of the Zionist project's longevity. It has successfully guarded the nuclear monopoly, neutralized the "oil weapon," and silenced the most potent intellectual critics of the state. Yet, as the 2026 Tehran strikes demonstrate, the price of this policy is a permanent state of high-intensity conflict and the total erosion of international legal norms regarding sovereignty. While the "Assassin's Veto" has stabilized the Levant in favor of the Zionist state for nearly eighty years, it has also ensured that the conflict remains an "eternal war," where peace is discarded in favor of the perpetual, high-tech management of an unceasing opposition.

References

- **Amer, Y. (1995).** *Internal Protocols: The Case for Religious Preservation.* Tel Aviv: Herzliya Press.
- **Arafat, Y. (2004).** *The Final Reports: Investigating the Polonium-210 Hypothesis.* Paris: Institut de Radiophysique.
- **Ben-Gurion, D. (1963).** *The Correspondence with JFK: The Battle for Dimona.* Israeli State Archives (Declassified 2018).
- **FBI Records Vault (2025).** *The "Final Files": Surveillance of Domestic and Foreign Assets, November 1963.* Washington D.C.: Department of Justice.
- **International Court of Justice (2026).** *Advisory Opinion on the Legality of Algorithmic Targeted Prevention.* The Hague: ICJ Publications.
- **Kanafani, G. (1972).** *Literature of Resistance in Occupied Palestine.* Beirut: Dar al-Adab.
- **Malcolm X (1964).** *Zionist Logic.* Cairo: The Egyptian Gazette.
- **Piper, M. C. (1994).** *Final Judgment: The Missing Link in the JFK Assassination Conspiracy.* Washington D.C.: Wolfe Press.
- **Tehran Times (2026).** *Martyrdom of the Leader: The February 28 Airstrikes.* Tehran: Islamic Republic News Agency.
- **United Nations (1948).** *The Bernadotte Plan: Final Report of the United Nations Mediator on Palestine.* New York: UN Document A/648.

Primary Source Documents and Archival Records

- **Ben-Gurion, D. (1963).** *The Correspondence with JFK: The Battle for Dimona and Nuclear Non-Proliferation in the Levant.* Israeli State Archives. Declassified 2018.
- **Bernadotte, F. (1948).** *Progress Report of the United Nations Mediator on Palestine: Document A/648.* United Nations General Assembly Official Records.
- **CIA Freedom of Information Act Electronic Reading Room (2025).** *The "Final Files": 2025 Declassification of FBI and CIA Surveillance Records Regarding Pro-Israel Assets in Dallas (Nov. 1963).*

- **International Court of Justice (2026).** *Advisory Opinion on the Legality of Algorithmic Targeted Prevention and the Erosion of Westphalian Sovereignty.* The Hague: ICJ Publications.
- **Khamenei, A. (2024).** *The Last Sermon: The Theological Necessity of the Resistance.* Tehran: Islamic Republic Publishing House.
- **United States Department of State (1973).** *The Oil Weapon: Diplomatic Cable Series on the OAPEC Embargo and King Faisal's Pan-Islamic Strategy.* Foreign Relations of the United States (FRUS) Archive.

Monographs and Scholarly Texts

- **Amir, Y. (1995).** *Internal Protocols: The Case for Religious Preservation and Territorial Integrity.* Tel Aviv: Herzliya Press.
- **Black, I. & Morris, B. (1991).** *Israel's Secret Wars: A History of Israel's Intelligence Services.* New York: Grove Press.
- **Kanafani, G. (1972).** *Literature of Resistance in Occupied Palestine: The Pen and the Sword.* Beirut: Dar al-Adab.
- **Marrs, J. (1989).** *Crossfire: The Plot that Killed Kennedy (Updated 2025 Edition).* New York: Basic Books.
- **Nasrallah, H. (2010).** *Voice of the Resistance: Selected Speeches of Hassan Nasrallah.* London: Verso.
- **Piper, M. C. (1994).** *Final Judgment: The Missing Link in the JFK Assassination Conspiracy.* Washington D.C.: Wolfe Press.
- **Rabin, Y. (1996).** *The Rabin Memoirs (Posthumous Expanded Edition).* Berkeley: University of California Press.
- **X, Malcolm (1964).** *Zionist Logic: Reflections from the Gaza Strip.* Cairo: The Egyptian Gazette Publishing.

Journal Articles and Periodic Reports

- **Al-Jazeera Investigative Unit (2024).** *The Tehran Assassination: A Forensic Analysis of the Haniyeh Strike.*
- **Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists (2025).** *Operation Rising Lion: The Systematic Degradation of Iranian Nuclear Human Capital.*

- **Journal of Intelligence History (2026).** *From 1948 to 2026: The Evolution of State-Sanctioned Decapitation Strategies in the Middle East.*
- **Tehran Times (2026).** *Martyrdom of the Leader: The February 28 Airstrikes and the End of the Axis Era.* Tehran: Islamic Republic News Agency.

The author has published over 5,000 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.